

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-23476-2020

Date of Decision: 21.09.2020

Dev Raj and another

Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Vinod S. Bhardwaj, Advocate for
Mr. Naveen S. Bhardwaj, Advocate for the Petitioners.

Mr. Pankaj Mulwani, Deputy A.G., Haryana.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

[1] The matter is taken up for hearing through video conference due to COVID-19 situation.

[2] The present petition is filed by Dev Raj and Sher Singh for grant of regular bail in FIR No.17, dated 21st February, 2020 under Section 120-B, 419, 420, 467, 468 and 471 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 registered at Police Station Kalka, District Panchkula.

[3] The FIR was registered on an application of the Joint Sub Registrar, Kalka. The aggrieved person is Prem Kumar. The allegations are that Shubham son of Prem Kumar, in connivance with the petitioners, sold the property of his father and for registration of

transaction some one impersonated as Prem Kumar.

[4] Learned counsel for the petitioners argued that the petitioners were property dealers and they had no role in getting the documents registered. It is further argued that they were arrested on 11th July, 2020 and they are in detention since then.

[5] Learned State counsel, on instructions, submits that Sher Singh is witness to the agreement to sell and both of them have put signatures on receipt dated 10th September, 2019 as witnesses. Further, it is argued that since the main accused i.e. Shubham has not been arrested, there is no substantial headway in the investigation. It is submitted that on arrest of Shubham, the petitioners may be required for custodial interrogation.

[6] At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner, on instructions from the clients, submits that in case occasion so arises, the petitioners would immediately join investigation as and when desired by the police authorities.

[7] Considering the facts of the case, the petitioners are granted bail subject to their furnishing surety bonds to the tune of ₹1,00,000/- each.

[8] The petition is disposed of.

[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE

21st September, 2020
pankaj baweja

- | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|----------|
| 1. Whether speaking/ reasoned | : | Yes / No |
| 2. Whether reportable | : | Yes / No |