

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

109

**CRWP No. 6082 of 2020
Date of Decision:19.8.2020**

JAGANDEEP SINGH

.....Petitioner

Vs

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS

.....Respondents

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH*

Present: Ms.Harmeet Kaur, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

The case has been taken up for hearing through video-conferencing.

Petitioner has prayed for issuance of directions to official respondents i.e. respondents No.2 and 3 for protection of his life and liberty.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner and respondent No.4 started business of *dhaba* by entering into a partnership deed dated 17.6.2015. Due to some controversy, the petitioner filed a civil suit for dissolution of said partnership deed and for permanent injunction. The Civil Court passed an interim order on 25.1.2016, thereby restraining

the defendant (respondent No.4 herein) from alienating the suit property in favour of any third person. Despite the stay order, respondent No.4 has alienated the property for which a contempt petition has been filed by the petitioner in the competent court. Now there is a threat qua life and liberty of the petitioner at the instance of private respondents. Petitioner has already moved a representation before the Senior Superintendent of Police, Police District Gurdaspur- respondent No.2 on 4.8.2020 (Annexure P-2) and the same has not been decided so far.

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Mr.Harsimar Singh Sitta, Asstt.A.G, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of State-respondents No.1 to 3.

In view of nature of order, which this Court proposes to pass, at this stage, there is no necessity of calling upon any response from the respondents.

In view of above, without meaning anything on the merits of the case, this petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.2 to have a fair look on the representation filed by the petitioner and decide the same in accordance with law without being influenced by any statement of fact recorded herein above. It would be appreciated if the representation filed

by the petitioner is decided within a period of one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

August 19, 2020

anita

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether reportable Yes/No