

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-6098-2020

Date of decision:-19.8.2020

Manisha and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE H.S.MADAAN

Present: Mr.Sumit Dua, Advocate
for the petitioners.

...

H. S. Madaan, J. (Oral)

Case taken up through video conferencing.

It is stated that petitioner No.1 – Manisha, aged about 24 years has got married with petitioner No.2 – Vishal aged about 23 years against wishes of her family members, arrayed as respondents No.4 to 6, who are threatening to interfere in their marital life, as such to seek protection in that regard, they have approached this Court by way of filing the present petition.

It is further stated that the petitioner No.1 had submitted a written representation before respondent No.2 – Superintendent of Police, Yamunanagar dated 10.8.2020 seeking protection, copy of the same being Annexure P5 but no action has been taken thereon.

Of course nobody can be allowed to take law into his hands and to indulge in illegal activities.

Notice of motion to respondent -State.

Mr.Tanuj Sharma, AAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.

Heard.

The petition is disposed of directing respondent No.2 – Superintendent of Police, Yamunanagar to look into the representation Annexure P5, assess threat perception to the petitioners and then take suitable action in the matter, if so required.

However, this order shall not validate the marriage between the petitioners and shall not have any effect on any civil or criminal action to which the petitioners may be found liable, under the law.

19.8.2020
Brij

(H.S.MADAAN)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No