

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

108

**CRWP No.6268 of 2020
Date of Decision: 21.8.2020**

MANJIT KAUR

Petitioner

Vs

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. T.P.Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

The case has been taken up for hearing through video-conferencing.

Petitioner has prayed for issuance of appropriate directions to the official respondents No.2 and 3 to protect her life and liberty from being invaded by respondents No.4 to 7.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the marriage of the petitioner was solemnized with her husband Harwinder Singh on 5.12.1999 according to Sikh rites by way of Anand Karaj. They lived as husband and wife in village Gagewal and cohabited with each other. Three children took birth out of the wedlock, who are living with the petitioner. Owing to some dispute, petitioner was ousted after giving beatings. Petitioner and her husband started living separately. Now her husband left for USA. Petitioner has already filed

proceedings qua maintenance in terms of Order 33 rules 1 and 2 CPC against her husband in the competent Court at Barnala. Maintenance has been awarded to the petitioner as well as to each child to the tune of Rs. 6,000/- and Rs.3,000/- per month respectively. Husband of the petitioner is not paying the maintenance. On 11.10.2019, auction was to be held in the society through Court proceedings, but the family members of her husband intervened illegally. FIR No. 64 dated 8.11.2019 under Sections 341/ 323/ 354-B/ 149 IPC at police station Tallewal District Barnala has been registered at the instance of the petitioner. The private respondents are involved in making threats to the petitioner and the police has not proceeded to take lawful action against these persons till date.

Precisely, for the grievance of the petitioner, she has approached respondent No.2 by way of representation dated 7.7.2020, which is still pending before the said authority.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr.Ramandeep Sandhu, Sr.DA.G.Punjab accepts notice on behalf respondents No.1 to 3.

In view of nature of order which this Court proposes to pass, there is no necessity of calling upon any response from the respondents.

In view of above, without meaning anything on the

merits of the case, this petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.2 to have a fair look on the representation filed by the petitioner and decide the same in accordance with law without being influenced by any statement of fact recorded herein above. It would be appreciated if the representation filed by the petitioner is decided within a period of one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

August 21, 2020

anita

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
2. Whether reportable : Yes/No