

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-4830-2018 (O&M)

Date of decision: 17.02.2020

Naresh Chand

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE H.S. MADAAN

Present: Mr. Ravinder Rana, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Dimple Jain, AAG, Haryana.

H.S. MADAAN, J. (Oral)

It is stated that on completion of investigation, the challan has been filed, though, charge is yet to be framed.

The petitioner can raise all these pleas before the trial Court at the time of consideration on the point of framing of charge and even if the trial Court does not agree with counsel for the petitioner/accused with regard to submission made, the order framing charge can be challenged by way of filing of revision petition before the Court of Sessions. Therefore, the petition is disposed of relegating the petitioner to that remedy.

17.02.2020

sumit.k

**(H.S. MADAAN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned :

Yes

No

Whether Reportable :

Yes

No