

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-6052-2020

Date of Decision:23.09.2020

Hardeep Singh

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr.Amandeep Singh Samra, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr.Saurav Khurana, DAG, Punjab.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

All cases listed today have been taken up for hearing by way of video conferencing because of the situation existing due to the Covid-19 pandemic.

By this petition, the petitioner seeks issuance of a writ directing respondents no. 2 and 3, to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner and his family members, further directing them to take legal action against respondents no. 4 to 10.

On September 04, 2020, the following order had been passed by this court:

“All cases listed today have been taken up for hearing by way of video conferencing because of the situation existing due to the Covid-19 pandemic.

Though learned State counsel submits that an affidavit of the DSP, Sub Division, Dirba, has been filed, however, the same is not on record.

He submits that proceedings under the provisions of Sections 107/151 of the Cr.P.C. have been 'taken' against the parties, with learned counsel for the petitioner however countering to the effect that no proceedings whatsoever have been taken

against respondent no.8, who is actually (as per the petitioner) the main “culprit”, with him further submitting that respondents no.4 to 10 all entered the house of the petitioner and threatened him and his minor daughter aged 11 years and tried to kidnap her (respondent no.4 being the wife of the petitioner and the mother of the said daughter).

Learned counsel further submits that the petitioner has an audio clipping of the occurrence.

Adjourned to 23.09.2020.

The petitioner would produce the audio clipping before the DSP, Dirba within 5 days, who would, thereafter, file another affidavit stating as to whether any cognizable offence under the provisions of the IPC is made out or not, and if so, what action is being taken accordingly.

The audio clipping would also be placed on record by learned counsel for the petitioner before the next date of hearing.

It is to be noticed that though learned State counsel has stated that it is essentially a dispute between husband and wife, obviously even if that is so, that does not give the right to anybody to try and take custody of a child or to take any action outside the law by threatening any other person or illegally entering the house of such person.

Hence, keeping the aforesaid factors in view, the DSP shall file another affidavit, failing which the SSP, Sangrur, shall be summoned to court through video conferencing.

The role of respondent no.8, Gurpal Singh, shall also be specifically gone into by the DSP.”

Today, an affidavit of the DSP, Sub Division Dirba, has been filed, stating eventually to the effect that the matter has been compromised between the parties, with learned counsel for the petitioner, i.e. the complainant, also stating to the same effect.

That being so, this petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous, with the parties held bound to the terms of the compromise.

September 23, 2020

dharamvir/

**(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : YES/NO
Whether Reportable : YES/NO