

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

115

CRWP No. 6091 of 2020

Date of Decision:19.8.2020

BALWINDER SINGH

.....Petitioner

Vs

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS

.....Respondents

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH*

Present: Ms. Kamalpreet Kaur Dhaliwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

The case has been taken up for hearing through video-conferencing.

Petitioner has prayed for issuance of directions to the official respondents i.e. respondents No.2 to 5 for protection of his life and liberty from being invaded by respondent Nos. 6 and 7.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that son of the petitioner solemnized marriage with respondent No.6 without informing the petitioner. Thereafter the couple filed CRM-M No. 40825 of 2013 in the High Court and got protection. After some time, son of the petitioner came to the village and the petitioner being a father accepted the marriage of his son with respondent No.6 and gave them blessings. Son of the petitioner was living with respondent No.6 in Chandigarh and

the petitioner had no knowledge about their whereabouts as he was never included by his son in his family. On 26.7.2020 at 7.30 PM, respondent No.6 informed the police that owing to some dispute between her and her husband i.e. son of the petitioner, he had gone out without telling anything and even threatened respondent No.6 that he will commit suicide. Petitioner was never informed about missing of his son from his house, but still he is being harassed by the police at the instance of respondents No.6 and 7. Petitioner has already moved a representation before the Senior Superintendent of Police, Chandigarh- respondent No.4 on 3.8.2020 (Annexure P-1), which is statedly pending before the authority.

In view of above, without meaning anything on the merits of the case, this petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.4 to have a fair look on the representation filed by the petitioner and decide the same in accordance with law without being influenced by any statement of fact recorded herein above. It would be appreciated, if the representation filed by the petitioner is decided within a period of one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

August 19, 2020

anita

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No