

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(Proceedings conducted through video Conference)

105

CRWP-6033-2020 (O&M)

Date of decision: 18.08.2020

RITU AND ANOTHER

... Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE REKHA MITTAL

Present : Mr. Vicky Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners.

REKHA MITTAL, J. (Oral)

By invoking Article 226 of the Constitution of India, petitioners pray for issuance of appropriate writ, order or directions especially a writ in the nature of mandamus for protection of their life and liberty.

The allegations raised by the petitioners are that they performed legal/valid marriage but against wishes of private respondents No. 4 to 10 at whose behest they are apprehending threat to their life and liberty.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Sanjay Mittal, Addl. AG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of official respondents.

Mr. Shokeen Singh Verma, Advocate has caused appearance on behalf of respondents No.4 to 10.

Counsel for respondents No.4 to 10 would state that they will not interfere in matrimonial life of petitioner No.1 but she may be directed/advised to contact her parental family members.

Counsel for the petitioners, in reply, would state that once the petitioners are provided with protection against danger to their life and liberty, petitioners have got no objection for meeting family members of petitioner No.1.

Heard.

Without going into the question of validity or otherwise of alleged marriage of the petitioners, the petition stands disposed of with a direction to the Superintendent of Police, Karnal to look into grievance of the petitioners and take appropriate action, if any, desired in the given

circumstances.

This Court expects from the petitioners that after they are provided with required protection, they would meet parental family members of Ritu to create harmony.

18.08.2020

ashok

(REKHA MITTAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether reportable:

Yes / No
Yes / No