

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.23375 of 2020
Date of Decision :19.08.2020

Nathi Ram

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present: Mr. Parveen Chauhan, Advocate for the petitioner.

B.S. Walia, J. (VC)

1. Case is being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing due to the outbreak of Covid-19 pandemic.

2. At the outset, learned counsel states that the petitioner has submitted a representation (Annexure P-6) dated 07.10.2019 to respondent No.4 to ensure a fair and proper investigation in FIR No.74 dated 17.07.2019 under Section 3(2) of the SC & ST Act and Section 66 of IT Act, registered at Police Station Sadar, Jagadhari, District Yamunanagar by an officer not below the rank of Superintendent of Police etc. and that in the circumstances, the petitioner would be satisfied, if the petition is disposed of, by directing respondent No.4 to consider and decide the representation (Annexure P-6) dated 07.10.2019 in accordance with law.

3. Notice to respondent No.4 only.

4. Mr. Jitender Kumar, learned DAG, Haryana, accepts notice. Copy of the paper book has already been supplied to him. Learned DAG states that he has no objection to the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

5. Accordingly, without commenting upon the merits of the controversy, the petition is ***disposed of*** by directing respondent No.4 to consider and decide the representation (Annexure P-6) dated 07.10.2019, in accordance with law and take such action in the matter, as may be warranted, in the facts and circumstances of the case expeditiously.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

August 19, 2020
'Rajneesh-Amit'

<i>Whether Speaking/Reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>