

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(Proceedings conducted through video Conference)

106

CRWP-6034-2020 (O&M)

Date of decision: 18.08.2020

KULWINDER KAUR AND ANOTHER

...Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE REKHA MITTAL

Present : Mr. Vishavdeep S. Rana, Advocate for
Mr. DPS Mann, Advocate for the petitioners.

REKHA MITTAL, J. (Oral)

By invoking Article 226 of the Constitution of India, petitioners pray for issuance of appropriate writ, order or directions especially a writ in the nature of mandamus for protection of their life and liberty.

The allegations raised by the petitioners are that they performed legal/valid marriage but against wishes of private respondents No. 4 to 9 at whose behest they are apprehending threat to their life and liberty.

Notice of motion to respondents No. 1 to 3.

Ms. Monika Jalota, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of official respondents.

Heard.

Without going into the question of validity or otherwise of alleged marriage of the petitioners, the petition stands disposed of with a direction to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Mansa to look into grievance of the petitioners and take appropriate action, if any, desired in the given circumstances.

Before parting with this order it is pertinent to mention that in most of the petitions filed by newly married couples seeking protection of their life and liberty, there is no reference if they have filed any such or similar petition before the Court of Sessions. The Registry is directed to look into this aspect of the matter so that the factum of filing of any such petition before the Court of Sessions is also mentioned in the petition.

18.08.2020

ashok

(REKHA MITTAL)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes / No

Whether reportable:

Yes / No