

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(Proceedings conducted through video Conference)

104

CRWP-6032-2020 (O&M)

Date of decision: 18.08.2020

PUSHPA SHARMA AND ANOTHER

...Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE REKHA MITTAL

Present : Mr. Kiranpal Rana, Advocate for the petitioners.

REKHA MITTAL, J. (Oral)

By invoking Article 226 of the Constitution of India, petitioners pray for issuance of appropriate writ, order or directions especially a writ in the nature of mandamus for protection of their life and liberty.

The allegations raised by the petitioners are that they performed legal/valid marriage but against wishes of private respondents No. 4 to 7 at whose behest they are apprehending threat to their life and liberty.

Counsel for the petitioners would state that though in para 18 of the petition it is mentioned that petitioners have not filed similar petition either in the High Court or in Hon'ble the Supreme Court of India but would state on instructions that even no such petition was filed before the Court of Sessions.

Notice of motion to respondents No. 1 to 3.

Ms. Monika Jalota, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of official respondents.

Heard.

Without going into the question of validity or otherwise of alleged marriage of the petitioners, the petition stands disposed of with a direction to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Fazilka to look into grievance of the petitioners and take appropriate action, if any, desired in the given circumstances.

18.08.2020

ashok

(REKHA MITTAL)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes / No

Whether reportable:

Yes / No