

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-22992 of 2020 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 08.09.2020

Bhupinder Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

Present : Mr. Mansur Ali, Advocate and
Mr. Imran Ali, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Rashmi Attri, AAG, Punjab.
(Presence marked through video conference).

ARUN MONGA, J. (ORAL)

CRM NO. 19851 of 2020

Allowed, as prayed for.

Photocopy of Annexures P-1 to P-9 are taken on record, subject
to all just exceptions.

MAIN CASE

Petition herein is under Section 438 Cr.P.C. read with Section 482
Cr.P.C. directing official respondents to give 7 days advance notice, in case
petitioner is required in any FIR/complaint.

On the last date of hearing, following order was passed by this
Court:-

*“Learned State counsel, at the outset, submits that he is
under instructions to state that there is no FIR registered against the
petitioner either at District Ludhiana or District Fatehgarh Sahib
and, therefore, her apprehension/anticipation of being arrested, is
completely unfounded.*

*He submits that, in fact, a complaint was received by the
husband of the petitioner against one Jaswinder Singh i.e. respondent
No.4 stating that the petitioner and her husband apprehend that they*

may be falsely implicated in some case by respondent No.4. While on the other hand, there is no complaint by respondent No.4 either against the petitioner or her husband.

On the face of it, the apprehension of the petitioner thus seems to be completely unfounded. Be that as it may, let a response be filed on or before the next date of hearing.

Adjourned to 08.09.2020.”

In compliance thereof reply by way of affidavit of Jashandeep Singh Gill, PPS, Assistant Commissioner of Police, (South) Ludhiana has been filed on behalf of respondents No.1 and 2, copy of the same is taken on record. Copy whereof has been supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner.

Para 12 of the reply reads as under:-

“That the contents of para No.12 of the petition are incorrect, hence denied. There is no threat to the petitioner. The petitioner will not be implicated in any false case”.

In view of the aforesaid, no further proceedings are required before this Court and petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

SEPTEMBER 08, 2020
vandana

(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether reportable :	Yes/No