

**106+236 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

COCP No.1817 of 2019 (O&M)

Date of decision: 20.01.2020

Suresh Kumar

.... Petitioner

Versus

Shri Jagdish Chander and anr.

... Respondents

Before: Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia.

Present: Mr. Arvind Seth, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Padamkant Dwivedi, Advocate for the respondents/HAIL.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner who was working as a Clerk on contractual basis filed CWP No.6202 of 2018 in which while adjourning the case to 24.04.2018 vide order Annexure P-1 dated 14.03.2018 directions were issued that in the meanwhile, services of the petitioner shall not be dispensed with by resorting to a similar arrangement.

[2] COCP No.1817 of 2019 has been filed alleging violation of the aforementioned order dated 14.03.2018.

[3] Learned counsel for the respondents contends that the contempt petition is misconceived since there has been no violation of the order, Annexure P-1 dated 14.03.2018 as no Clerk has been appointed on contractual basis to replace the petitioner.

[4] On query learned counsel for the petitioner frankly concedes that services of the petitioner have not been dispensed with by resorting to a

similar arrangement. In other words, the petitioner has not been replaced by a Clerk on contractual basis.

[5] In that view of the matter, no contempt is made out.

[6] Dismissed.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

20.01.2020
amit

1.

Whether speaking/reasoned

:

Yes/No.
2.

Whether reportable

:

Yes/No.