

110

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

TA-387-2020 (O&M)

Date of decision-17.08.2020**Arti****...Petitioner****Vs.****Neeraj****...Respondent****CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ**

Present: Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

*********MANOJ BAJAJ, J.**

This transfer application has been filed under Section 24 C.P.C in respect of the case bearing No.DMC/329/2020 dated 19.06.2020 titled as “Neeraj Versus Arti” filed by respondent under Section 9 Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 for its transfer from the Court of District Judge, Family Court, Sonapat to any Court of competent jurisdiction at Rohtak.

During the course of hearing, it is not disputed by learned counsel for the petitioner that the parties got married on 11.11.2019, but separated in May 2020 and the petition under Section 9 Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 was filed by respondent in June, 2020. Learned counsel has fairly stated that pursuant to summons issued by the Family Court, the petitioner is yet to put in her appearance on 15.09.2020.

After hearing the learned counsel, this Court finds that admittedly, the petitioner is yet to appear before the Family Court at Sonapat and besides this case, no other litigation is pending between the parties,

therefore, the sole ground of convenience of the petitioner raised by learned

counsel is not worth acceptance, considering the short distance between Sonepat and Rohtak i.e. approximately 50 kms.

In view of the above, no case is made out for transfer of the case.

Dismissed.

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

17.08.2020

vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No