

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

105

CRWP-5960-2020

Date of decision: 17.08.2020

Babita Gill

....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Girish Agnihotri

Present: Mr. Nandan Jindal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

GIRISH AGNIHOTRI, J. (Oral)

The matter has been taken up through video-conferencing on account of the restrictions due to outbreak of pandemic COVID-19.

Petitioner-Babita Gill, stated to be aged 42 years, has filed the present petition *inter alia* with a prayer for issuance of directions to the respondents No.2 & 3 to protect her life and liberty from the hands of respondents No.4 & 5.

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Mr. H.S. Sullar, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the State.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, based on the pleadings, submits that for the same/similar grievance, petitioner had filed a representation dated 08.07.2020 (Annexure P-1) to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Moga (respondent No.2), but, till date, no

decision has been taken.

While refraining from making any expression as regards the veracity of the averments made in the petition, the petition is **disposed of** with a direction that in case, the petitioner approaches the police authorities concerned with representation (Annexure P-1) with regard to her alleged threat perception, the same shall be got examined by the Senior Superintendent of Police, Moga (respondent No.2) and decided as expeditiously as possible, preferably within four months from today, in accordance with law and necessary steps as may be warranted under the facts and circumstances of the case, be taken thereupon forthwith.

A copy of this order be sent to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Moga (respondent No.2), so as to enable him to do the needful expeditiously.

Criminal Writ Petition is disposed of accordingly.

(GIRISH AGNIHOTRI)
JUDGE

17.08.2020

anju rani

Whether speaking/ reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No