

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.11933 of 2020

Date of decision : 17.08.2020

Joginder Singh and others

.... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present : Mr. P. K. S. Phoolka, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Anu Pal, Senior DAG, Punjab.

* * *

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

Case has been heard through video conferencing in view of COVID-19 Pandemic.

One of the grounds, which has been taken in the present petition to challenge order dated 06.02.2020 is that the impugned order has been passed *ex parte*. Admittedly, an application under Order 9 Rule 13 CPC has been moved before respondent No.2 - DDPO-cum-Collector, Hoshiarpur for setting aside the *ex parte* impugned order, which is still pending.

Accordingly, we do not want to entertain this petition at this stage. However, keeping in view the fact that the application under Order 9 Rule 13 CPC is still pending, respondent No.2 - DDPO-cum-Collector, Hoshiarpur is directed to consider the pending application (Annexure P-6) and take action in accordance with law within a period of four weeks from

the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

Disposed of accordingly.

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Dated : 17.08.2020
sunil yadav

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No