

**107 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**1. CWP-12157-2020
Date of decision: 13.10.2020**

Raj Kumar and othersPetitioners

Versus

UT Chandigarh Administration and othersRespondents

2. CWP-13718-2020

Jasbir KaurPetitioner

Versus

UT Chandigarh Administration and othersRespondents

3. CWP-13642-2020

Raj Pal and othersPetitioners

Versus

UT Chandigarh Administration and othersRespondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ**

Present :- Mr. Parveen Chauhan, Advocate, for the petitioners.

**Mr. Namit Kumar, Senior Panel counsel with
Mr. Ankit Midha, Junior Panel counsel
for respondents No.1, 2 and 4
(in CWP-12157 and 13642-2020).**

**Mr. Anil Mehta, Senior Panel Counsel with
Mr. Arav Gupta, Junior Panel Counsel
for the respondents (in CWP-13718-2020).**

**Ms. Deepali Puri, Advocate
for respondent No.3-Municipal Corporation.**

AJAY TEWARI, J. (ORAL)

At the very outset, Mr. Parveen Chauhan, Advocate prays

that inadvertently, the Municipal Corporation has been mis-described in

the memo of parties at item No.3 and prays that it may be amended to read “Municipal Corporation Chandigarh through the Additional Commissioner-cum-Estate Officer”.

Learned counsel for respondent No.3 has very fairly stated that she has no objection.

In the circumstances, the nomenclature of respondent No.3 is changed to Municipal Corporation, Sector 17, Chandigarh through Additional Commissioner-cum-Estate Officer.

Registry is directed to amend the memo of parties.

Learned counsel for respondents No.1 and 2 states that in the Full House of respondent No.3 dated 08.09.2015, the following decision was taken:-

“The House considered & approved in principle the grant of ownership rights of property of Transit Sites to the original licensees/allottees at Rehabilitation Colonies of Vikas Nagar, Mauli Jagran and Sector 52-53, UT Chandigarh.

Further it was also decided that the collector rates be got revised from the Collector and agenda be brought again in the House for decision.”

As per him, the collector rates have now been provided by respondents No.1 and 2 to respondent No.3.

Learned counsel for respondent No.3 states that the matter will be put up before the House in its next meeting.

In view of this, Mr. Chauhan, states that he would not press this petition at this stage but at least till the decision is taken, the possession of the petitioners be protected.

Learned counsel for respondent No.3 has no objection to this course of action.

In this circumstances, the petitions are disposed of in the above terms at this stage.

Since the main cases have been decided, the pending Civil Miscellaneous Application, if any, also stands disposed of.

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

13.10.2020
m. sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	-	Yes/No
Whether reportable	-	Yes/No