

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 11985 of 2020

Date of decision: 18.08.2020.

Vijay Kumar

..... Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Baljeet Beniwal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

Heard through video conferencing.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the respondents have not declared the result category-wise and the petitioner, who had been called for counselling, is not aware of the marks obtained by the candidates selected in his category.

There is no document or application preferred by the petitioner on record to indicate that he had sought category-wise marks of the selected candidates.

At this juncture, learned counsel for the petitioner contends that he may be permitted to withdraw the petition with liberty to approach the private respondents for furnishing information with regard to the category-wise marks obtained by the selected candidates.

The petition is dismissed as withdrawn with the aforesaid liberty.

**(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE**

18.08.2020

Ramesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No