

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

202

CWP-12101-2020

Date of Decision: 17.12.2020.

Pushpavati Gupta

....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr.Harsh Aggarwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms.Tanisha Peshawaria, DAG Haryana.

Mr.Sanjay Vashisht, Advocate and
Mr.Akash Vashisht, Advocate
for respondents No.4 and 5.

Mr.Tarun Seth, Advocate
for respondent No.6

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.:

Present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondents No.1 to 3 to get protection and maintenance for the petitioner, who is more than 100 years old.

After hearing the parties on November 03, 2020, the following order was passed:

“This Court deems it appropriate that an amount of Rs.1 lakh be paid to the petitioner by respondents No.4 & 5 jointly to meet the medical expenses already borne by her daughter, namely Prem Lata Goyal. The payment be made either by way of a Demand Draft or deposited in her bank account within two weeks from today, positively.

Posted on 07.12.2020.”

Again, on December 07, 2020, following order was passed by this Court:

“At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner stated that amount of Rs.1 lac has been paid to the petitioner by respondents No.4 and 5. Learned counsel further apprised the Court that old lady is not satisfied with the treatment extended by respondent No.6.

This Court requests respondent no.6 through his counsel to show some big heart being grandson of the petitioner in the evening of her life.

Posted on 17.12.2020.”

Today, during the course of hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner, on instructions, has apprised the Court that petitioner is fully satisfied with the treatment extended to her by respondent No.6, therefore, she does not press the petition any further.

Consequently, the petition is disposed off as such. However, it is clarified that in case the occasion so arises, petitioner would be at liberty to take recourse to the remedy available under law. It is also clarified that daughter of the petitioner namely Prem Lata Goyal will have a right of visitation twice a month preferably on Sunday, which is not objected by respondent No.6.

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

17.12.2020
neenu

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether reportable :	Yes/No