

Crl. Misc. No. M-22863 of 2020

Date of Decision: August 19, 2020

Vikas

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTALPresent: Mr. Amardeep Hooda, Advocate
for the petitioner

Mr. Munish Sharma, AAG Haryana

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

The petitioner seeks grant of regular bail in case FIR No. 60 dated 07.02.2020 registered under Sections 363, 366-A IPC (Section 376(2)(N) IPC and Section 6 of POCSO Act, 2012 added later on) at Police Station Urban Estate, Rohtak.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been in custody since 15.02.2020. Investigation is complete but charges have not yet been framed. Thus, the trial is not likely to be concluded at an early date. From the facts of the case it is apparent that the parties were involved in a love affair, thus, the petitioner may be granted regular bail.

Learned State counsel concedes the submissions made on behalf of counsel for the petitioner.

A perusal of Section 164 Cr.P.C. statement placed on record as Annexure P-2 corroborates the statement made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

Keeping in view the aforementioned facts and circumstances of this case, I am of the opinion that granting regular bail to the petitioner would serve the interest of justice.

Accordingly, the petition is allowed and the petitioner is directed to be released on regular bail on his furnishing bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

August 19, 2020

reena

[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No