

CRM-M No.23353 of 2020

Date of Decision :19.08.2020

Harjit Kaur @ Ruby

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. WaliaPresent : Mr. Nikhil Kumar Vashisht, Advocate for the petitioner.
*****B.S. Walia, J. (VC)**

1. Case is being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing due to the outbreak of Covid-19 pandemic.
2. Prayer in the petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is for directing respondent Nos.1 to 3 to register an FIR against respondent No.4 and his accomplices on the basis of complaint dated 07.07.2020.
3. Learned counsel contends that despite the petitioner having submitted a complaint to the police on 07.07.2020 no action was taken for registering FIR whereupon the petitioner submitted complaint (Annexure P-3) dated 11.07.2020 by registered post to respondent No. 2 but no action has been taken till date on the same also and in the circumstances, the petitioner would be satisfied if respondent No. 2 is directed to consider and decide the complaint Annexure P-3 dated 11.07.2020.
4. Notice to respondent No.2 only.
5. Mr. Randhir Singh Thind, learned DAG, Punjab, accepts notice. Copy of the paper book has already been supplied to him. Learned DAG states that he has no objection to the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner.
5. Accordingly, without commenting upon the merits of the controversy, the petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.2 i.e. Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar to consider and decide the complaint (Annexure P-3) dated 11.07.2020, in accordance with law and to take such

further action in the matter in accordance with law as may be warranted in the facts and circumstances of the case, as expeditiously as possible.

August 19, 2020

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

<i>'Rajneesh-Amit'</i>	<i>Whether Speaking/Reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
	<i>Whether Reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>