

208-B

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-23017-2020
Date of Decision: 27.08.2020**

Suraj

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present: Mr. B.K. Bagri, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Anmol Malik, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Prashant Singh Chauhan, Advocate,
for the complainant.

SUDIP AHLUWALIA, J. (ORAL)

The instant petition has been filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure by the petitioner, seeking regular bail in case FIR No.0163 dated 25.05.2019, under Sections 148, 149, 307 and 302 of the IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act, registered at Police Station City Rewari, District Rewari.

2. It has been contended on behalf of the petitioner that he was not named in the FIR and the material implicating him is actually on the basis of the disclosure statements of other accused persons.

3. Ld. Counsel for the complainant, however, draws attention of the Court to the statement given by witness Dinesh, who directly named the petitioner as being a member of the unlawful assembly, which had gathered

and in pursuance of whose common intention, the deceased was killed by shooting at him, which shooting was imputed to two specifically named persons in the FIR.

4. Considering the over all circumstances, this Court is not inclined to release the petitioner on bail, at this stage.

5. Dismissed.

27.08.2020

Apurva

(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE

1. Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
2. Whether reportable: Yes/No