

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-22761-2020 (O&M)
Date of decision: 14.08.2020

Nitin

...Petitioner

Versus

Union Territory, Chandigarh

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. Vivek Kathuria, Advocate for the petitioner.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

Case is taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

Through the instant petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C., the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR No. 63 dated 04.05.2019 registered under Section 394 IPC (Charges framed under Sections 394, 397, 411 and 34 IPC), at Police Station Sector-19, Chandigarh.

Earlier bail application of the petitioner was dismissed, vide order dated 03.12.2019 passed by this Court.

Allegations against the petitioner and other accused are that they got alighted from a black car and one of them gave hammer blow on the head and other boy gave a knife blow on shoulder, of the complainant and looted Rs. 3000/- and fled away in the aforesaid car.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that now the complainant has filed an affidavit dated 07.08.2020 (Annexure P-5) deposing therein that the petitioner was not involved in the occurrence leading to the registration of the aforesaid FIR.

Heard.

The aforesaid affidavit of the complainant does not hold any value at this stage. Moreover, there is no change of circumstance from the one noticed in the earlier order dated 03.12.2019. Hence, no case is made out for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

In view of the above, the present petition is dismissed.

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

14.08.2020

Mangal Singh

Whether reasoned/speaking?

Yes/No

Whether reportable?

Yes/No