

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH  
105

CRWP No. 5994 of 2020.

Date of Decision: 24<sup>th</sup> August, 2020

Jitender @ Jeeta

....Petitioner/Appellant

VERSUS

State of Haryana and others

....Respondent

**CORAM: JUSTICE S. MURALIDHAR  
JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN**

Present: Mr. Krishan Singh, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Mr. Ankur Mittal, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

\*\*\*\*\*

**Dr. S. Muralidhar, J.**

1. The reply filed on behalf of Respondent Nos. 1 to 4 has been perused.
2. It is seen that the Petitioner overstayed the period of furlough, earlier granted to him, by 8 months and was subsequently lodged back in jail.
3. In that view of the matter, there is no case made out for considering the case of the Petitioner for furlough at this stage.
4. The petition is dismissed.
5. This order will not preclude the Petitioner from applying afresh for furlough at a later stage.

**(S. MURALIDHAR)  
JUDGE**

**(AVNEESH JHINGAN)  
JUDGE**

**24<sup>th</sup> August, 2020**

*Sachin M.*

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether reportable Yes/No