

CRR(F)-367 of 2020

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRR(F)-367 of 2020
Date of Decision: 14.08.2020

Prateek Chauhan

...Petitioner

Versus

Baby Kaira and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMENDRA JAIN

Present:- Mr. Baljeet Beniwal, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Sumit Jain, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

RAMENDRA JAIN, J. (ORAL)

Case has been taken up for hearing through video-conferencing due to Covid-19 pandemic.

Through this revision, petitioner, father of respondent No.1 and husband of respondent No.2, has challenged order dated 03.03.2020, directing him to make payment of ₹30,000/- as interim maintenance to respondent No.1, who is in custody of respondent No.2.

Learned counsel *inter alia* contends that as per affidavits submitted before the trial Court, petitioner is earning ₹91,850/- per month, whereas respondent-wife is earning more than ₹1.00 lakh. Therefore, interim maintenance fixed by the trial court in a petition of respondents No.1 and 2 under Section 125 Cr.P.C. is on the higher side. Petitioner has his old-age widow mother to maintain, besides his own expenses for day-to-day affairs. Therefore, maintenance amount may be reduced in between

CRR(F)-367 of 2020

₹10,000/- to ₹15,000/-.

Having given thoughtful consideration to the above submissions, this Court finds instant revision completely devoid of any merit for the reasons to follow.

This Court while exercising its revisional powers has very limited jurisdiction, which can only be exercised, (i) when the Courts below have acted beyond jurisdiction vested in them or (ii) have not exercised the same diligently and (iii) have exercised illegally.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has not been able to show any such infirmity in the impugned order.

The trial Court has passed a well-reasoned order. Thus, no interference is called for in the impugned order.

Dismissed.

August 14, 2020
R.S.

(RAMENDRA JAIN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether Reportable Yes/No