

CRM-M No.22566 of 2020

Date of Decision : 13.08.2020

Trishla Devi

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Sukhveer Kaur, Advocate for the petitioner.

B.S. Walia, J. (VC)

Case is being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing due to the outbreak of Covid-19 pandemic.

1. Prayer in the petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is for the issuance of appropriate direction's to respondent Nos.1 to 3 to take action against the private respondents in accordance with law.

2. Learned counsel states that the petitioner has submitted representation Annexure P-4 dated 22.05.2019 and that she would be satisfied if the petition is disposed of by directing the official respondents to consider and decide the same in accordance with law.

3. Notice of motion to the official respondents only at this stage.

4. Mr. Saurav Khurana, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents and states that an enquiry i.e. Annexure P-3 dated 12.08.2019 was conducted in the matter, petitioner and respondent No.6 were called to the Police Station but despite repeated directions, the petitioner did not turn up at Police Station Division No.2, District Pathankot, whereas, respondent No. 6 along with a number of residents

of her ward repeatedly came to the Police Station and respondent No. 6 got her statement recorded that she had not found any gold chain or pendant in the bundle of the petitioners clothes. Besides, statements of MC of the Mohalla, namely, Vijay Kumar and other neighbours had also been recorded that respondent No.6 had been working in the locality since past 30 years and that no one had ever heard of any complaint against her and that she was an honest and hardworking woman and that the petitioner was filing complaints just to harass her and that there was no truth in the complaint.

5. Learned DAG, contends that in the circumstances, it is evident that the petition is misconceived since enquiry has already been conducted on 12.08.2019 vide Annexure P-3 and that if the petitioner still has any grievance, she can file a criminal complaint qua the same.

6. Faced with the aforementioned position, learned counsel for the petitioner prays for permission to withdraw the petition with liberty to pursue the matter in accordance with law before the appropriate court.

7. Dismissed as withdrawn with the liberty as prayed for.

August 13, 2020

'Ps/Amit'

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

<i>Whether speaking/ reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>