

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Criminal Misc.-M No.22999 of 2020
Date of Decision: November 02, 2020

Raman Kumar @ Rinku

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. Karan Chaudhary, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Harbir Sandhu, AAG, Punjab.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed by the petitioner under Section 438 Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.119 dated 09.06.2020, under Sections 324, 148, 149 and Section 326 IPC (added later on), registered at Police Station, Dhariwal, District Gurdaspur. The petitioner apprehended his arrest at the hands of police in the above FIR.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has invited the attention of the Court to the order dated 17.08.2020, whereby while issuing notice of motion to the respondent-State, the interim protection was extended to the petitioner. The said order reads as under:-

“Learned counsel contends that the alleged occurrence took place on 29.05.2020 and the FIR was lodged after delay of approximately ten days on 09.06.2020. It is pointed out that the injured initially refused to make the statement, which was made after consultation. He has further pointed that the offence

punishable under Section 326 IPC was added subsequently. He has also placed reliance upon the order dated 06.08.2020 passed in CRM-M21857-2020 in respect of the co-accused.

Notice of motion for 02.11.2020.

Meanwhile, the petitioner shall join the investigation and would come present as and when called for and in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be admitted to interim bail on his furnishing personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C ”.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further contends that in deference to the said order, the petitioner submitted himself before the police and joined the investigation. According to him, the petitioner cooperated with the police authorities during the investigation and furnished requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the Investigating Officer/Arresting Officer.

Learned State counsel does not dispute this fact that the petitioner has joined the investigation. Learned counsel, on instructions from ASI Gurbachan Singh, further states that the petitioner is not required for custodial interrogation for the time being.

Considering above, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 17.08.2020 is made absolute.

November 02, 2020
ramesh

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No