

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

(Proceedings conducted through Video Conference)

CRM-M- 22588 of 2020 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 13.8.2020

Sukhpal Singh

---Petitioner

versus

The State of Haryana

---Respondent

Coram: Hon'ble Mrs. Justice Rekha Mittal

**Present: Mr. Satbir Singh Gill, Advocate
for the petitioner**

Rekha Mittal, J.

The petitioner prays for grant of bail in anticipation of arrest for offence under Sections 376-D, 366, 342, 354, 506 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (in short "IPC") registered at police station, Ellenabad, District Sirsa, vide FIR No. 204 dated 13.7.2020.

Counsel for the petitioner would argue that even as per allegations raised in the FIR, the prosecutrix developed love with main accused namely Sonu. It is further argued that petitioner was not aware if Sonu had gone to the alleged place of occurrence with an intention to commit rape upon the prosecutrix. The last submission made by counsel is that Sonu has already been arrested and nothing is to be recovered from the petitioner, therefore, his custodial interrogation is not required.

The petitioner alongwith Sonu took the prosecutrix on motor cycle of the petitioner. Sonu allegedly committed rape and petitioner guarded the place of occurrence. In the given circumstances, the petitioner is not entitle to concession of bail in anticipation of arrest.

Dismissed.

**(Rekha Mittal)
Judge**

13.8.2020

PARAMJIT Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : Yes/No