

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP No. 5853 of 2020
Date of decision: 13.08.2020.**

Sonia and another Petitioners.

Versus

State of Haryana and others Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. S.S. Kainth, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Gaurav Gurcharan Singh Rai, AAG, Haryana,
for respondents No. 1 to 3.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

Heard through video conferencing.

The petitioners are seeking a direction to the official respondents to protect their life and liberty, as they apprehend danger from respondents No. 4 to 8.

It is stated in the petition that petitioners have performed their marriage, which is against the will of private respondents and they have apprehension that the private respondents might cause physical harm to them.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has drawn my attention to the representation dated 07.08.2020 (Annexure P7) sent by the petitioners to the Superintendent of Police, Yamuna Nagar (respondent No. 2).

Issue notice to respondents No. 1 to 3 only.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. Gaurav Gurcharan Singh Rai, AAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 3.

Keeping in view the mandate of the Supreme Court in the case of Lata Singh vs. State of U.P. and another, JT 2006 (6) SC 173, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the Superintendent of Police, Yamuna Nagar (respondent No. 2) to look into the matter and take appropriate action in accordance with law to ensure that no harm is caused to the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of the private respondents.

It is clarified that this order shall not be treated as a stamp of this Court about the marriage of the parties and no opinion with regard to the validity of the marriage has been expressed in this order.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

13.08.2020

Ramesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No