

CRM-M-22605-2020

Date of Decision :14.08.2020

Anita and others

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. G.S. Jagpal, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Saurav Khurana, DAG, Punjab.

B.S. Walia, J. (VC)

1. Case is being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing due to the outbreak of Covid-19 pandemic.

2. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioners contended that the petitioners have submitted representation (Annexure P-1) dated 05.08.2020 to respondent Nos.1 & 2 in respect of the grievances, which are the subject matter of the instant petition but the same has not been decided, till date and that in the circumstances, the petitioners would be satisfied, if the writ petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.2 to consider and decide the representation (Annexure P-1) dated 05.08.2020, in accordance with law.

3. Learned counsel contends that the petitioners were earlier running Sam Pacific Engineers Private Limited from which petitioner No.1 resigned as Director and thereafter the name of the company was changed to Blue Life Infra Private Limited which is engaged in the work of installing of solar system. Learned counsel contends that respondent Nos.5

Limited and were being paid commission amount from time to time by petitioner Nos.2 & 3.

5. Learned counsel further contends that some of the private respondents had paid money for installation of solar system but later on changed their mind and demanded their money back on account of non installation of the solar system due to prevailing Covid-19 Pandemic. Learned counsel contends that the dispute if any is of a civil nature but the petitioners including minor son of petitioner Nos.1 & 2 have been called to the Police Station in connection with the complaint submitted by the private respondents and that the petitioners would be satisfied, if the present petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.2 to consider and take into account the submissions made in the representation (Annexure P-1) dated 05.08.2020 while considering the complaint submitted by the private respondents.

6. Accordingly, without commenting upon the merits of the controversy, the petition is ***disposed of*** by directing respondent No.2 to take into account the representation (Annexure P-1) dated 05.08.2020 while considering the complaint against the petitioners.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

August 14, 2020
'Rajneesh-Amit'

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No