

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-23253-2020 (O&M)
Date of decision : 07.09.2020

Hansraj @ Monu

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Gautam Dutt, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikrant Pamboo, DAG, Haryana.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (ORAL)

The matter has been taken up through video-conferencing in the light of the pandemic COVID-19 situation and as per instructions.

CRM-20878-2020

CRM application is allowed as prayed for, subject to all just exceptions and the date of FIR shall now be read as 19.09.2015 instead of 03.11.2015.

Main case

This petition under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, has been filed for grant of bail in FIR No.1211 dated 19.09.2015, registered under Sections 302, 364 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code; Section 25 of the Arms Act; and Section 3 of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act; at P.S. Gurgaon City, District Gurugram.

Heard.

At the outset, learned State counsel informs that all the prosecution witnesses stand examined, which is not controverted by learned counsel for the petitioner.

Considering the fact that the trial is at the fag end, this Court is not inclined to entertain the present petition, at this stage.

Otherwise also, this is the third petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C. preferred by the petitioner. This is the third bail petition of the petitioner, there is no fresh circumstance in favour of the petitioner.

Dismissed.

Nothing noticed hereinabove shall be construed as an expression of opinion of this Court, on the merits of the case.

07.09.2020

atulsethi

**(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes No

Whether Reportable : Yes No