

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-22479-2020

Date of Decision : 13.08.2020

Arun Sidhu

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Prashant Vashisth, Advocate for the petitioner.

B.S. Walia, J. (VC)

1. Case is being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing due to the outbreak of Covid-19 pandemic.

2. Learned counsel contends that the petitioner, who had raised his voice against gambling activity being carried out in the locality was attacked by certain persons and that despite his having submitted representation (Annexure P-2) dated 13.05.2020 to the Commissioner of Police for action against those responsible for attacking him, no action in respect thereto has been taken and that in the circumstances, the petitioner would be satisfied, if the petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.3 i.e. Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana, to consider and decide the representation (Annexure P-2) dated 13.05.2020, and take such action on the same in accordance with law.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Saurav Khurana, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice and states

that he has no objection to the limited prayer of the counsel for the petitioner.

5. Accordingly, in the light of the position as noted above, the petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is ***disposed of*** by directing respondent No.3 to consider and decide the representation (Annexure P-2) dated 13.05.2020, as expeditiously as possible and take such action in respect thereto, in accordance with law, as may be warranted in the facts and circumstances of the case.

6. Petition disposed of with the aforementioned directions.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

August 13, 2020
'Rajneesh-Amit'

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No