

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.

(114)

CRWP-5934-2020

Date of Decision: August 14, 2020

Navleen Kaur and another

.. Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.. Respondents

(Through video conferencing)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: None for the petitioners.

Mr. Mehardeep Singh, Addl. Advocate General, Punjab.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

The petitioners are seeking a direction from this Court to respondents No. 1 to 3 to protect their life and liberty as they apprehend danger to their life & liberty from respondents No. 4 to 6 i.e. parents/relatives of petitioner No.1, who are objecting to the marriage solemnized by the petitioners.

As per the averments made in the petition, petitioners apprehend that the private respondents might cause physical harm to the petitioners as they have solemnized the marriage against the wishes of respondents No. 4 to 6. Petitioners have solemnized marriage according to Sikh Rites on 09.08.2020 and they want to live their independent life without any interference from the parents/relatives of petitioner No.1 i.e. respondents No. 4 to 6. A representation dated 09.08.2020 (Annexure P-4) made by the petitioners to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, District Gurdaspur, Punjab seeking protection to their life and liberty is annexed with the petition.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3 only.

Mr. Mehardeep Singh, Additional Advocate General, Punjab, who has joined the proceedings through video conference, keeping in view the service of advance copy of petition, accepts notice on behalf of State of Punjab-respondents No.1 to 3.

Without making any observation on the merits of the case, especially in respect of the validity of marriage performed by the petitioners, a direction is issued to respondent No.2 to take appropriate decision on the representation, which the petitioners alleged to have made on 09.08.2020 (Annexure P-4), expressing apprehension about danger to their life and liberty at the hands of respondents No. 4 to 6 within a period of one month from the date of receipt of the copy of this order. In case any merit is found in the representation, the appropriate action will be taken by respondent No.2 to ensure that no harm is caused to the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of the private respondents.

It is clarified that this order shall not be treated as an approval of this Court about the marriage of the petitioners and no opinion with regard to the validity of the marriage has been expressed in this order.

The writ petition stands disposed of.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

August 14, 2020
harsha/naresh.k

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No