

HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

203 - CWP No.11829 of 2020

Date of Decision: 23.09.2020

Vishal

... Petitioner

VS.

State of Punjab & Ors.

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE GIRISH AGNIHOTRI

Present: Mr. Vikas Bali, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Navdeep Chhabra, DAG Punjab

GIRISH AGNIHOTRI, J. (Oral)

(1) The petitioner Vishal has filed the present writ petition *inter alia* with the following prayers:-

- (i) To issue a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing respondent No 2 to 4 to take immediate legal action against respondent No. 5 to 8 and their associates who have threatened, wrongfully detained and criminally intimidated the petitioner and his family members and now with the help of police officials are forcefully and illegally pressurizing the petitioner to come to the terms of respondent No.5 against whom already the petitioner has filed complaints before the police authorities but no action has been taken on them till date.
- (ii) With a further prayer for issuance of directions to respondent No.2 to ensure the protection of the life and liberty of the petitioner and his family members who are in imminent danger at the hands of the private respondent No.5 to 8 who in connivance with the local police and despite having received

complaints (Annexure P-3 & P-6) have not taken any action till date;

(iii) Further a prayer to dispose of the petitioner with direction to the police authorities i.e. respondents No.2 to 4 to initiate necessary action/required investigation action on the complaints (P-3 & P-6) as per law;

(iv) Further an impartial detailed/fair investigation/enquiry be marked/hand over to an Investigating Agency/Crime Branch or from Head Quarter;

(2) Records of the case show that on 14.08.2020 notice of motion was issued and time was sought to file reply.

(3) Learned counsel for the petitioner at this stage submits that till date no decision on his representations/complaints has been taken nor any decision has been conveyed to the petitioner. His representations/complaints (P3 & P6) are pending with the authorities.

(4) Without commenting upon the merits of the case, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority to look into the representations/complaints (P3 & P6) made by the petitioner and decide the same in accordance with law by passing a speaking order within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

(5) Considering the present situation due to COVID-19, learned State counsel and the Registry are directed to send an email to the concerned authorities for necessary compliance.

(6) So ordered.

23.09.2020

Vvishal

(Girish Agnihotri)
Judge

1. Whether speaking/reasoned?

Yes/No

2. Whether reportable?

Yes/No