IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

108

CRM-M-22823-2020
Date of decision : 31.08.2020

Guarav .....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others .....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR TYAGI

Present : Mr. Ravi Malhotra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Lavinder Sofat, AAG, Punjab
for respondents No.1 to 3.

Mr. Naveen Upadhyay, Advocate
for respondent No.4.

Mr. R.D. Rattewal, Advocate
for respondent No.5.

****

ARUN KUMAR TYAGI, J (ORAL)

(The case has been taken up for hearing through video conferencing.)

The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for quashing of order dated 28.07.2020 passed by learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Phagwara in case FIR No.203 dated 13.07.2020 registered under Sections 363 and 366-A of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station City Phagwara whereby respondent No.4 has been ordered to be detained in Gandhi Vanita Asharam, Jalandhar till she attained majority.

Short replies were filed on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3 and respondent No.4.

VINOD KOTHIYAL
2020.08.31 14:06
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

In the present case, it is not disputed by any of the parties that respondent No.4, whose date of birth is 28.08.2002, has attained the age of majority.

In compliance with order dated 28.08.2020, respondents No.2 and 3 have ensured the presence of respondent No.4 through whatsapp call.

On being questioned respondent No.4 has submitted that she had solemnized marriage with the petitioner and she wants to go with the petitioner to her matrimonial house. Respondent No.4 shall be accordingly allowed by respondent No.3 to go with the petitioner to her matrimonial house.

No further action is required to be taken in the petition as impugned order dated 28.07.2020 passed by learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Phagwara has already become infructuous and the petition is accordingly disposed of as having become infructuous.

A copy of this order be supplied to learned State Counsel and be also sent to respondent No.3 for requisite compliance.

31.08.2020 (**ARUN KUMAR TYAGI**)
Kothiyal **JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No

VINOD KOTHIYAL
2020.08.31 14:06
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document