

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-45562-2017 (O&M)
Date of decision: - 04.02.2020**

Sukhbir Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. Ritesh Kumar, Advocate for
Mr. Manoj Kumar Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Kirat Singh Sidhu, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Gaurav Kalsi, Advocate for
Mr. H. S. Batth, Advocate for respondents No. 6 to 11.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in the present petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is for issuance of direction to the respondents No. 1 to 3 to take appropriate action on the complaint dated 23.10.2017 made by the petitioner.

At this stage, Mr. Gaurav Kalsi, Advocate, has appeared and filed Vakalatnama on behalf of respondents No. 6 to 11 in Court today, which is taken on record.

Learned State counsel has pointed out that in the reply filed by way of affidavit of Satnam Singh, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police (DSP), Sub-Division, Tarn Taran, which is already on record, it has been specifically mentioned that the application filed by the petitioner was marked by Senior Superintendent of Police, Tarn Taran, to DSP, Sub-Division, Tarn

Taran. On enquiry, the same was found to be false. It is also mentioned in the reply that the petitioner has moved this application to save the accused of FIR No. 156 dated 11.10.2017 registered under Section 379, 447, 506, 511, 427, 148 and 149 IPC at Police Station Jhabal, District Tarn Taran. Other relative are accused in the FIR .

As the aforesaid application of the petitioner has been taken into consideration and after enquiry the same has been filed, therefore, no cause of action is made out in the present petition.

Dismissed accordingly.

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

04.02.2020

Mangal Singh

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No