

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH***

CRWP No.5790 of 2020

Date of Decision: 11th August, 2020.

Sonia & Anr.

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab & Ors.

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present: Mr.Kamal Narula, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr.Gaurav Garg Dhuriwala, Sr.DAG, Punjab.

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA (ORAL)

Case has been taken up through video conferencing in view
of the outbreak of Pandemic COVID-19.

By way of the instant petition, the petitioners have sought the
indulgence of this Court for issuance of writ in the nature of mandamus,
directing respondents No.2 and 3 to protect their life and personal liberty as
they apprehend threat to the same at the hands of respondents No.4 to 7
because they (petitioners) have solemnized their marriage against the wishes
of these respondents. It has also been mentioned in the petition that the
petitioners have already moved a representation (Annexure P-4) to
respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Ferozepur, on 05.08.2020, in this
regard.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3 only.

Mr.Gaurav Garg Dhuriwala, Sr.DAG, Punjab, at the asking of

the Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.

Heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioners restricts his prayer to issuance of a direction to respondent No.2 to take appropriate action on the said representation (Annexure P-4).

Learned counsel for the State has no objection for the same.

Accordingly, without expressing any opinion on the legality and validity of the marriage, as stated to have been solemnized between the petitioners, respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Ferozepur, is hereby directed to look into the said representation (Annexure P-4) and if it is found that the petitioners genuinely deserve any protection, then to take appropriate action in accordance with law.

This petition stands disposed of.

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

11.08.2020.

seema

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No