

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

(Heard through VC)

CRM-M-22614 of 2020 (O&M)
Date of Decision: August 13, 2020

Krishan Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. S.S. Majithia, Advocate
for the petitioner.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking a direction to the official respondents to register an FIR against the private respondents on the basis of representations (Annexures P-5 and P-6).

Counsel for the petitioner would argue that son of the petitioner has been murdered by respondent No.4 and when the petitioner and his wife approached the police to get justice, the wife of the petitioner and his minor daughter were brutally attacked and received injuries. It is submitted that despite the representations (Annexures P-5 and P-6) having been moved, no action has been taken.

Notice of motion.

At this stage, on the asking of the court, Ms. Dimple Jain,

AAG Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the officials respondents and submits that official respondents will look into the matter and will decide the representation of the petitioner. Let a copy of the paper book be supplied to the State counsel through whatsapp or e-mail during the course of the day, failing which no action is called for.

In view of the above, I dispose of the instant petition by directing the respondents No.2 and 3 to decide the representations (Annexure P-5 and P-6) of the petitioner within a period of one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order, in accordance with law.

The petitioner is at liberty to approach this court again, in case, any grievance of the petitioner still survive.

August 13, 2020
vijay saini

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No