

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-5808-2020

Date of decision: 11.8.2020

Richhpal Singh and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr.Manoj Tanwar, Advocate for the petitioners

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

The matter has been taken up through video-conferencing in the light of the pandemic Covid-19 situation and as per instructions.

The petitioners duly identified by the learned counsel for the petitioners are present in his office. Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the petitioners are major and are residing together in a live-in relation. Their relationship is being opposed by respondent Nos.4 to 9, who are the family members of petitioner No.2.

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Mr.RS Jhand, Addl.AG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State. A complete set of paper book has already been supplied to the learned State counsel.

At this stage, Mr.Rajesh Nain, Advocate causes appearance on behalf of respondent Nos. 4 to 9.

Though, petitioner No.1 is already married but the petitioners have invoked writ jurisdiction of this Court seeking enforcement of fundamental right to life and liberty enshrined under Article 21 of the Constitution of India.

Considering the fact that the petitioners are only seeking protection of their life and liberty at the hands of respondent Nos. 4 to 9, the Court feels that there is no necessity, at this stage, to seek reply of the private respondents.

Without expressing any opinion with regard to validity of relationship and on the merits of the assertions made in the petition, the instant petition is disposed of with the direction to respondent No.2- Superintendent of Police, Jind to take necessary action on the representation dated 26.7.2020 (Annexure P-3) moved by the petitioners and provide necessary protection to them in accordance with law, in case, he is satisfied that the life and liberty of the petitioners is threatened at the hands of respondent Nos.4 to 9.

11.8.2020
gsv

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned?
Whether reportable?

Yes / No
Yes / No