

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-11675-2020 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 11.08.2020**

Bhupinder Singh Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMENDRA JAIN

Present : Mr. R.K.Arora, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. T.P.S.Chawla, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Anhad Singh, Advocate
for respondent Nos.2 to 4.

RAMENDRA JAIN, J. (Oral)

Case has been taken up for hearing through video conferencing due to the outbreak of Pandemic COVID-19.

Through this petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, prayer has been made for quashing the impugned orders dated 06.07.2020 and 14.07.2020 (Annexures P7 and P8) whereby respondent No.2 terminated the services of petitioner from the post of ETT Teachers after about 12 years of his service without any inquiry.

Learned counsel, referring to the judgment of a Coordinate Bench, in **Sartaj Singh vs. State of Punjab and another, decided on 17.01.2013 in CWP-10647-2010**, *inter alia* contends that respondents were required to conduct regular inquiry.

No charge-sheet was ever served upon the petitioner. Therefore, his termination is illegal.

Learned State counsel submits that the facts of the present case are squarely covered by the judgment of a Coordinate Bench in **Tejinder Singh vs. State of Punjab** in CWP No.16766 of 2011 which was dismissed on 20.01.2014.

Heard.

Undisputedly, petitioner was appointed as ETT/JBT teacher on contract basis. His case is squarely covered by aforesaid judgment at par. Thus, he cannot be given benefit of the judgments of Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Bhagwati Prasad and others vs. Delhi State Mineral Development Corporation** decided on 15.12.1989 in Writ Petition No.100 and 1078 of 1988 and **Dr. M.S.Mudhol vs. Shri S.D. Halegkar, decided on 13.07.1993** in SLP No.16256-1992 and in **Sartaj Singh's case (supra)**.

Dismissed.

11.08.2020

mamta

(RAMENDRA JAIN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No