

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

106

CRM-M-22307-2020(O&M)

Date of Decision:11.08.2020

INDU RANA

... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. B.K.Bali, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J (Oral)

Hearing of the case was held through video conferencing on account of restricted functioning of the Courts.

The petitioner prays for grant of pre-arrest bail in a criminal case arising from FIR No.360 dated 11.07.2020, registered under section 406/420/120B of Indian Penal Code, 1860, at Police Station Shahbad Kurukshetra.

In a nutshell, the case of the prosecution has been noticed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge in paragraph 4 of the order, which is extracted as under:-

"Now as per the case of the allegedly introduced the complainant to the co accused namely Rajesh Candy Baba ie the Occultist (Tantrik) and on being influenced and induced by the talks/deeds/acts/magical powers of Rajesh @ Candy Baba ie the Occultist (Tantrik) the complainant allegedly gave Rs.25 lakhs through the petitioner-accused to the co-accused Rajesh @ Candy Baba ie the Occultist (Tantrik) for making further money. However, the amount was not returned to the complainant by the co-accused Rajesh @ Candy Baba ie the Occultist (Tantrik) and with these allegations of cheating and fraud, the present FIR was got registered by the complainant. "

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated and no payment has been made to the petitioner.

On the other hand, learned counsel for the State has contended that such accused are duping the public and, therefore, she is required to be interrogated in order to unearth the truth.

Keeping in view the facts of the case, this court is not inclined to grant pre-arrest bail to the petitioner.

Dismissed.

August 11, 2020
nt

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No