

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

204-C

**CRM-M No. 21893 of 2019
Date of decision: - 10.01.2020**

Arun and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present: Ms. Divya Bajaj, Advocate
Mr. Sanjeev Kodan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Rahul Mohan, DAG, Haryana.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

Present petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. has been filed for quashing of the FIR No. 34 dated 01.02.2019 registered under Section 3 of the Haryana Protection of Interest of Depositors in Financial Establishment Act, 2013 (Haryana Act No. 32 of 2014) Section 120-B, 406 and 420 IPC, at Police Station Azad Nagar, District Hisar, along with all other consequential proceedings arising therefrom.

It is the case in which complainant-Mahender has lodged the aforesaid FIR against the petitioners and other accused persons alleging that the petitioners and their other family members had family relationship with him and on their assurance the complainant and his family members deposited their amount in the Society and moreover the FDR was issued under the signatures of petitioner No. 1 and other accused Sher Singh Punia.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that all the allegations levelled against the petitioners are totally false and they have no concern with the Society. They have named in the FIR just to put pressure on Sher Singh Punia, father of petitioner No. 1 and husband of petitioner No. 2.

Report dated 24.07.2019, filed by way of affidavit of Narender Kumar, HPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Detective, Hisar, is already on record. As per report, during the course of investigation it revealed that the petitioner No.1 have issued pass books and FDRs duly signed by him and has also been worked as Computer Operation on salary basis in the Society. There is ample incriminating cogent evidence against the petitioners and others and the offence committed by the petitioners is cognizable and of grave nature.

Since the matter is pending before the learned trial Court, the matter is relegated to the learned trial Court.

Learned counsel for the petitioners is directed to move an appropriate application before the learned trial Court by raising his pleas and the learned trial Court will decide the application within 3 months being uninfluenced in any manner by the observations made by this Court.

Disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

10.01.2020
Mangal Singh

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No