

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

COCP No.1641 of 2020  
Date of Decision :24.08.2020

**Rajwinder Singh and others**

**.....Petitioners**

**Versus**

**Gurlovleen Singh Sidhu**

**..... Respondent**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ARUN PALLI, JUDGE**

Present: Mr.Vikas Chatrath, Advocate with  
Ms. Mandeep Kaur, Advocate for the petitioners.  
Mr. Anupam Singla, Advocate for the respondent.  
(The aforesaid presence is being recorded through video conferencing  
since the proceedings are being conducted in virtual Court)

**ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral):**

The petitioners have filed the contempt petition alleging intentional and willful disobedience of the order and judgment dated 16.05.2019, rendered by this Court in CWP No.11385-2019 (Rajwinder Singh and others Vs. Pepsu Road Transport Corporation).

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that writ petition filed by the petitioners was disposed of by this court on 16.05.2019, in terms of its earlier decision dated 27.11.2018, rendered in **CWP No.24543 of 2016 (Gurdial Singh and others Vs., Pepsu Road Transport Corporation and others)**, wherein it was observed that “on deposit of the amount of CPF by the petitioners, the respondent shall calculate and re-fix the pensionary benefits of all the petitioners within a period of two months thereafter and release the difference of the amount for which each petitioner is entitled for.”

Notice.

Mr. Anupam Singla, Advocate, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent and submits that the order in compliance to the judgment dated 16.05.2019 has already been passed by the authorities and only the exact amount that is to be disbursed to the petitioners is to be calculated. He submits that he has specific instructions from the respondent to state that needful shall be done within a period of 6 weeks from today.

That being so, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that let this petition be disposed of as having been not pressed.

The petition is accordingly disposed of in the above terms. However, it is made clear that in the event the financial/monetary benefits, as undertaken by learned counsel for the respondent, are not released the petitioners shall be at liberty to move an appropriate application in the present contempt petition itself for its revival to initiate contempt proceedings against the respondent.

**(ARUN PALLI)**  
**JUDGE**

24.08.2020

*Manoj Bhutani*

|                           |        |
|---------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable        | Yes/No |