

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

110

(PROCEEDINGS THROUGH V.C.)

CIVIL WRIT PETITION NO.11581 OF 2020

DATE OF DECISION: AUGUST 10, 2020

Madan Singh Parmar

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. R. A. Sheoran, Advocate &
Mr. Sushil Sheoran, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashish Yadav, Addl.Advocate General, Haryana,
for the State.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner, after arguing for sometime, submits that apprehension of the petitioner is that the pleas, which will be taken by him in response to the show cause notice, would not be dealt with by the Deputy Commissioner, Charkhi Dadri-respondent No.2 while passing an order on the basis of the reply, which he intends to file to the said show cause notice.

This contention of learned counsel for the petitioner although does not appear to be acceptable at this stage. However, in the light of the apprehension of the petitioner, direction is issued to the Deputy Commissioner, Charkhi Dadri-respondent No.2 to consider and deal with all

the points, which may be raised by the petitioner in the reply to the show cause notice, which has been issued to him and after consideration and dealing with the same pass a speaking order.

The writ petition stands disposed of with the above observations/directions.

August 10, 2020
khurmi

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No