

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.22120 of 2020 (O&M)

Date of decision: 09.09.2020

Shehjad

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE H.S. MADAAN

Present: Mr. Sarfraj Hussain, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Tanuj Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

H.S. MADAAN, J. (Oral)

Case taken up through video conferencing.

After arguing for sufficient time, realizing that the Court was not inclined to allow the petition, learned counsel for the petitioner states that he be permitted to withdraw the instant petition. Allowed.

In response to the direction issued vide order dated 10.08.2020, explanation of officer concerned has been received. The said issue requires to be dealt with on Administrative side. Let copy of order dated 10.08.2020, besides copy of the present petition along with annexures and explanation submitted by the officer be placed before the Administrative Judge of Gurugram Sessions Division for his Lordship's perusal and appropriate action which is found proper in the matter.

The present petition is dismissed as withdrawn.

09.09.2020

sumit.k

**(H.S. MADAAN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned :

Yes

No

Whether Reportable :

Yes

No