

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP No.5738 of 2020 (O&M)

Date of decision: 10.08.2020

Pritam Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr. Gaurav Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Joginder Pal Ratra, DAG, Punjab.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN J. (Oral)

Prayer in this writ petition, filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of directions to the official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner at the instance of the private respondents.

Counsel for the petitioner has argued that the petitioner is a senior citizen aged about 87 years and is facing harassment, at the instance of his son and other family members. It is further submitted that he has given the details in para 7 of the petition that on an earlier occasion also, respondent No.6 – Manmohan Singh i.e. the son of the petitioner was restrained for a period of 06 months and was even challaned number of times under Sections 107/151 Cr.P.C., but still he is harassing the petitioner. Counsel for the petitioner has also submitted that the petitioner has already given a representation to the Deputy Superintendent of Police, Dasuya as well as the Sub-Divisional Magistrate, Dasuya vide Annexures P-2 and P-3, however, no action has been taken so far. Lastly, it is submitted that considering the old age

of the petitioner, a direction be issued to the aforesaid officials to look into the grievance of the petitioner and protection be provided to the petitioner.

Counsel for the State has argued that he has no objection if a direction be issued to the aforesaid officials to decide the representation filed by the petitioner.

After hearing the counsel for the parties and in view of the submissions made by them, this petition is disposed of with a direction to the Deputy Superintendent of Police, Dasuya and the Sub-Divisional Magistrate, Dasuya to decide the representations (Annexures P-2 and P-3), after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner expeditiously, preferably within a period of 02 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

Disposed of.

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

10.08.2020
yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No