

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-11562-2020 (O&M)
Date of decision : 10.08.2020

Joginder Singh

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

The State of Punjab and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Jasbir Singh Mohri, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Nikhil Chopra, Addl.A.G., Punjab.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN J. (ORAL)

The matter has been taken up through video-conferencing in the light of the pandemic COVID-19 situation and as per instructions.

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a direction to the respondents to count the daily-wage service of the petitioner as Special Police Officer (SPO), before regularization, for the purpose of pension and retiral benefits.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that at this stage he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.2-Director General of Police, Punjab Police Head Quarter, Sector 9, Chandigarh, to consider and decide the representation dated 19.03.2020 (Annexure P-12) in the light of the judgment passed in CWP-2371-2010 titled as ***Harbans Lal Vs. The State of Punjab and others***, decided on 31.08.2020 (Annexure P-5),

expeditiously.

Heard.

Having regard to the prayer made and without adverting to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Director General of Police, Punjab Police Head Quarter, Sector 9, Chandigarh, to consider and decide the representation dated 19.03.2020 (Annexure P-12) in the light of the judgment passed in CWP-2371-2010 titled as *Harbans Lal Vs. The State of Punjab and others*, decided on 31.08.2020 (Annexure P-5), within eight weeks from the receipt of the certified copy of the judgment. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioner is admissible to him, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed, within a period of six weeks thereafter, in accordance with law. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

10.08.2020
atulsethi

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No