

104

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No. 22176 of 2020
Date of Decision: 27.11.2020

Harpreet Kaur

-Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

-Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. J.S. Jaidka, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sidakmeet Sandhu, A.A.G., Punjab.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

The case has been taken up for hearing through
video-conferencing.

On 10.09.2020, following order was passed:-

*“On the last date of hearing, time was
sought by learned counsel for the petitioner to
seek instructions if the matter could be amicably
settled. He submits that it is the petitioner’s
husband, if at all, who may have been involved
in the alleged financial transaction of accepting
money from the complainant with a reciprocal
promise of sending her to Canada.*

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is sanguine that, if given an opportunity, she would be able to amicably settle the matter in the interest of her family and in order to avoid unnecessary harassment arising out of the FIR. However, being under constant apprehension of arrest, she is unable to sit across table with the complainant side to settle the matter. He further submits that petitioner is otherwise simply a housewife and mother of a four years old child. She has been falsely implicated in the FIR only to put collateral pressure. In order to test the bona fides of the aforesaid submissions, the petitioner, if required to be arrested, shall be admitted to interim bail till the next date of hearing, subject to her furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. She shall abide by the conditions envisaged under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C. Adjourned to 27.10.2020 to await the outcome of the settlement.

SEPTEMBER 10, 2020

**(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE"**

shalini

Interim order was ordered to continue on 27.10.2020 also when last opportunity was granted to learned counsel for the petitioner to try out the possibility of compromise.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has not received any intimation from the petitioner as to whether order dated 27.10.2020 has been complied with or not.

He seeks to withdraw this petition with a liberty to file fresh petition in case modalities of compromise are found to have been fully completed.

Dismissed as withdrawn with the liberty aforesaid.

November 27, 2020

Jyoti Sharma

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
2. Whether reportable : Yes/No