

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCP No.1530 of 2020
Date of Decision :10.08.2020

Brij Mohan

...Petitioner

Versus

Sh. A. Veenu Prasad IAS and another

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Onkar Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

B.S. Walia, J. (VC)

Case is being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing due to the outbreak of Covid-19 pandemic.

1. Learned counsel contends that despite the order of this Court dated 02.07.2020 in CWP No.9064 of 2020 directing that in case the petitioner had not been relieved, he be allowed to continue on the post of ETO till the next date of hearing, the petitioner in derogation of the aforementioned order has been relieved on 28.07.2020.

2. Notice to the respondents to show cause as to why proceedings under the Contempt of Court Act, 1971 be not initiated against them for non-compliance with the orders of this Court dated 02.07.2020 in CWP No.9064 of 2020.

3. Ms. Sudeepti Sharma, Addl. A.G. Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and states that the petition is misconceived as the petitioner stood relieved on 22.06.2020 and the aforesaid aspect of the

matter has been noted in order dated 15.07.2020 in LPA No.1328 of 2019.

4. Learned Addl. A.G. states that in the circumstances, the contempt petition is liable to be dismissed with exemplary costs.

5. Faced with the aforementioned position, learned counsel for the petitioner prays for permission to withdraw the contempt petition.

6. In view of the statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the contempt petition is dismissed as withdrawn.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

August 10, 2020

'Ps/Amit'

Whether speaking/ reasoned : *Yes/No*
Whether reportable : *Yes/No*