

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 11762 of 2020

Date of Decision: 19th August, 2020

Jagdish Lal

....Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Haryana and others

....Respondent

**CORAM: JUSTICE S. MURALIDHAR
JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN**

Present: Mr. Vishal Nehra, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Mr. Ankur Mittal, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

Dr. S. Muralidhar, J.

1. The Court has perused the judgment dated 10th February, 2011, in *Haryana State Agricultural Marketing Board v. Raj Pal* (Civil Appeal No. 1550 of 2011) as well as other judgments relied upon by the Petitioner.

2. It is seen from the impugned order dated 6th February, 2020 of the Additional Chief Secretary, Agriculture and Farmers Welfare Department that the Petitioner had been asked to pay 15% simple interest and 4% penal interest by both the Appellate as well as Revisional Authorities in modification of the requirement in terms of the allotment letter that in the event of default the Petitioner should pay compound interest at 15% per annum along with penal interest at 4% per annum. The Court finds that the Petitioner also declined to avail the benefit of the Final Settlement Scheme. Even the statement made by the Petitioner, as recorded in para 4 of the order dated 6th February, 2020, has not been abided by, since till date even the simple interest has not been deposited.

3. In the circumstances, the Court does not consider that any case has been made out by the Petitioner for grant of any of the reliefs prayed for in the present petition.
4. The petition is dismissed.

(S. MURALIDHAR)
JUDGE

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

19th August , 2020
jk

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No